### **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference E01948-5T501	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2005/001851	International filing date (day/month/year) 08 February 2005 (08.02.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12 February 2004 (12.02.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8t See relevant information in Form I	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant KABUSHIKI KAISHA TOYOTA JII	DOSHOKKI		

1.	This international preliminary rep International Searching Authority	oort on patentability (Chapter under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 4 sheets, including this cov	ver sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the international preliminary re	nce to the written opinion of toport on patentability (Chapte	he International Searching Authority should be read as a reference r I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications r	elating to the following items	:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opini applicability	on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	national application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international application
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant ndate (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to designakes an express request unde	gnated Offices in accordance with Rules 44 <i>bis</i> .3(c) and 93 <i>bis</i> .1 but or Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			Date of issuance of this report 19 September 2006 (19.09.2006)
	The International Burea 34, chemin des Colo 1211 Geneva 20, Sw	ombettes	Authorized officer  Masashi Honda
Facsi	mile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt08@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)  Date of mailing (day/month/year)  POR FURTHER ACTION  International application No.  PCT/JP2005/001851  International application No.  PCT/JP2005/001851  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  Applicant  KABUSHIKI KAISHA TOYOTA JIDOSHOKKI  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. II Busis of the opinion  Box No. III Non-stablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(3x)() with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VI Certain deforates in the international application  Box No. VI Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. Lisk(b) that written opinions o this International Searching Authority will not be an considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA is written opinion of 2 months from the priority date, whichever expirate later.  Per further opinion, see Form PCTI/SA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/IP  Authorized officer	From the INTERN		NAL SEARCHIN	G AUTHOR	ITY		' <i>N</i> S,
Date of mailing   (docymonth/year)   (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	To:	_					PCT TON
Date of mailing   (day/month/year)						_	RITTEN OPINION OF THE
Applicant's or agent's file reference  E01948-5T501  International application No.  PCT/JP2005/001851  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  Applicant  KABUSHIKI KAISHA TOYOTA JIDOSHOKKI  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. ((a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority othe than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. Ibis(t) that written opinions to this International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant is invited to aubmit to the IPEA at written opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to aubmit to the IPEA is written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the explanation is mother from the date of mailing of Forn PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					İ		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
International application No.   PCT/JP2005/001851   International filing date (day/month/year)   Priority date (day/month/year)   12.02.2004							
International application No.  PCT/JP2005/001851  International Gling date (day/month/year)  OR . 02 . 2005  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  Applicant  KABUSHIKI KAISHA TOYOTA JIDOSHOKKI  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. (1a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions on this International Searching Authority will not be so considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA. and the chosen IPEA has notified the Irentantional Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions on this International Searching Authority will not be so considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the Irentantional Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions or this International Searching Authority will not be so considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA or further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	Applica	nt's or a	gent's file referenc	e		FOR FURTHER	ACTION
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC	E01	948-	-5 <b>T</b> 501				See paragraph 2 below
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  Applicant  KABUSHIKI KAISHA TOYOTA JIDOSHOKKI  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examinag Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 60.1bis/b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA of written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forn PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			•	051		day/month/year)	
Applicant  RABUSHIKI KAISHA TOYOTA JIDOSHOKKI  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No. 1   Basis of the opinion					l		12.02.2004
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(h) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer			IKI KAIS	HA TOYO	OTA JIDOSHOK	ĸı	
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(h) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer	,	This		d: _ d:	ting to the following items		
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	1.		•			<b>.</b>	
Box No. IV  Box No. IV  Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI  Certain documents cited  Box No. VII  Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII  Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer					opinion		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA awritten reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer		$\mathbb{H}$	Box No. II	-			
Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  7. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 roots before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer		님	Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of opinion with rep	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability
Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  7. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 roots before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer		닖	Box No. IV		-		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer		X	Box No. V				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Authorized officer			Box No. VI	Certain doc	uments cited		
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Authorized officer			Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international app	plication	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Authorized officer			Box No. VIII	Certain obs	ervations on the internation	nal application	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Authorized officer	,	FIIR	THER ACTION				
written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer		If a Interi than	demand for inter- national Preliminar this one to be the	ry Examining IPEA and the	Authority ("IPEA") except chosen IPEA has notified	ot that this does not ap I the International Bur	ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other
For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer		writte	en reply together,	where appro-	priate, with amendments,	before the expiration	n of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Authorized officer		For f	urther options, see	Form PCT/IS	SA/220.		
	3.	For f	urther details, see	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		
	Name a	nd mail	ing address of the	ISA/JP		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No. Telephone No.							
I despute to.	Facsimi	ile No.				Telephone No.	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box	k No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	IONAL SEARC		PC1/JP2005/0018	
		ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invo pporting such statement	entive step or industrial applicability;	
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-7		YES
	Claims			_ NO
1-matter des (10)		1 7		
Inventive step (IS)		1-7		_ YES
	Claims			_ NO
Industrial applicability (I	A) Claims	1-7		YES
	Claims			_ NO
2. Citations and explanations:				
not required and to amplifier) in order However, a supply to a stereo of whether stereo or r	bypass to switch off to economic trt that select demodulato nonaural is and that this	witch the power off when a ste the power supply to a receiver ize on power consumption is not cts a bypass circuit and that swar part on the basis of a control selected is not described in an as art results in less deterioration	r (for example, an merely a well-known art. vitches off the power signal that indicates by of the documents cited	

## **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference E01948-5T501	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2005/001851	International filing date (day/month/year) 08 February 2005 (08.02.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12 February 2004 (12.02.2004)
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form P		
Applicant KABUSHIKI KAISHA TOYOTA JID	OSHOKKI	

1.	This international preliminary rep International Searching Authority		I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 4 sheets, including this cov	er sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary re		he International Searching Authority should be read as a reference r I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications r	elating to the following items	:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opini applicability	on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	national application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international application
4.	The International Bureau will co not, except where the applicant n date (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to designakes an express request unde	gnated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but or Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			Date of issuance of this report 19 September 2006 (19.09.2006)
	The International Bure		Authorized officer
	34, chemin des Colo 1211 Geneva 20, Sw		Masashi Honda
Facsin	nile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt08@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION E01948-5T501 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. PCT/JP2005/001851 08.02.2005 12.02.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant KABUSHIKI KAISHA TOYOTA JIDOSHOKKI This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box	x No. I Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
1	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filled or does not go beyond the application as filled, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:
1	
1	

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box			HING AUTHORITY	PCT/JP2005/0	
	No. V Reasoned statem	ent under Rule	e 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to nov orting such statement	elty, inventive step or industrial applicab	ility;
1.	Statement	шишонэ зарр	orang seen statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims _	1-7		YES
	Inventive step (IS)	-	1-7		YE
		Claims _			NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims _			YE NO
2.	Citations and explanations:		<del></del>		
	However, art supply to a stereo der	that select nodulator naural is s	s a bypass circuit and to part on the basis of a coelected is not describe	on is merely a well-known and hat switches off the power ontrol signal that indicates I in any of the documents cite	